



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

William G. Ackerman
2309 South Joyce Street
Arlington VA 22202

MAILED

SEP 08 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
John Pope, et al. :
Application No. 10/668,228 : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Filed: September 24, 2003 :
Attorney Docket No. 038707.49859C2 :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 9, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before March 21, 2005, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed December 21, 2004. Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is March 22, 2005.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee of \$755 and the publication fee of \$300, (2) the petition fee of \$810; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.


There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney to prosecute the application. If the person signing the petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney document must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the

petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until appropriate instructions are received.

In order to request or take action in a patent matter, the assignee must establish its ownership of the patent to the satisfaction of the Director. In this regard, a Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must have either: (i) documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee (e.g., copy of an executed assignment), and a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or concurrently is being submitted for recordation pursuant to § 3.11; or (ii) a statement specifying where documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (e.g., reel and frame number). The power of attorney filed on August 9, 2010 does not include one of the above two options.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Terri Johnson at (571) 272-2991.

This application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.


Terri Johnson
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: **Jeffrey B. Oster**
8339 SE 57th Street
Mercer Island, WA 98040